

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,304	09/09/2004	Vishwa Khanna	2003-063	5303
32170	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER	
U.S. ARMY TACOM-ARDEC			HAYES, BRET C	
ATTN: AMSTRA-AR-GCL BLDG 3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PICATINNY ARSENAL, NJ 07806-5000			3641	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,304 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "a propelling charge support" at line 3, which is unclear as such a support has been previously recited in line 1. Is this a separate and distinct support or should the recitation be --the propelling charge support--, for example?
- 4. Further, claim 1 recites the limitation "the propelling charges" at lines 5 8 (3X). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Note: claims 5 7 reference measurements not provided for in the specification. Examiner notes that S.I. units should be used throughout the claims as is done in the specification. This is merely notification and not a rejection.
- 6. Claim 8 is indefinite because the metes and bounds of "possibly including another equivalent resin material meeting performance requirements", beginning at line 3, cannot be accurately determined. What material is excluded from infringing upon the claims invention?
- 7. Any unspecified claim is rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

- 9. Claims 2 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither discloses nor fairly teaches the recited limitations of the claimed invention including, but not limited to: a propelling charge support comprising at least one retaining clip; a non-detented clip; a rounded saddle with an etched surface; a winged edge; and a generally flat outer surface.
- 11. This statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why the claims are allowed and has not been written to specifically or impliedly state that all the reasons for allowance are set forth (MPEP 1302.14).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (571) 272 – 6902 or email address bret.hayes@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 5:30 am to 4:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,304

Art Unit: 3643

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached at (571) 272 – 6873.

bh

19-Dec-05

SUPERIOSORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 4